



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/509,545

09/24/2004

Nobuyuki Watanabe

9683/205

5858

79510

7590

08/20/2008

NTT Mobile Communications Network I/BHGL

P.O. Box 10395

Chicago, IL 60610

EXAMINER

TRAORE, FATOUMATA

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2136

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

08/20/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/509,545	<b>Applicant(s)</b> WATANABE ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> FATOUMATA TRAORE	<b>Art Unit</b> 2136	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) FATOUMATA TRAORE. (3) KARL HORLANDER.

(2) AMIR PENN (Reg No 40,767). (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 14 August 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: N/A.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-24.

Identification of prior art discussed: Lin et al (US 6,766,353).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: the proposed claimed amendment and the prior art of record were all discussed. No agreement was reach.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

	/Nasser G Moazzami/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2136
--	---